

Retrieved from DalSpace, the institutional repository of Dalhousie University

https://dalspace.library.dal.ca/handle/10222/74916

Version: Post-print

Publisher's version: Melanson, J. A., Smithen, D. A., Cameron, T. S., & Thompson, A. (2013). Microwave-assisted reduction of F-BODIPYs and dipyrrins to generate dipyrromethanes. Canadian Journal of Chemistry, 92(8), 688-694. doi: https://doi.org/10.1139/cjc-2013-0341

Microwave-assisted reduction	of F-BODIPYs and dipyrrins to	generate dipyrromethanes
------------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------------------

Jennifer A. Melanson, Deborah A. Smithen, T. Stanley Cameron and Alison Thompson	Jennifer A. Melanson	. Deborah A. Smithe	n. T. Stanley Camero	n and Alison Thompson
--	----------------------	---------------------	----------------------	-----------------------

Department of Chemistry, Dalhousie University, P.O. Box 15000, Halifax, NS, B3H 4R2, Canada

Corresponding Author:

<u>alison.thompson@dal.ca</u>; telephone 1-902-494-3305; fax 1-902-494-1310

Abstract

The reduction of BODIPYs and dipyrrins to dipyrromethanes, via a reaction involving ethylene glycol

and sodium methoxide, is reported. When benzyl alcohol is used in place of ethylene glycol, the

addition of 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine to the reaction mixture after microwave irradiation results in the

production of 1-benzylidene-2-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)hydrazone indicating concomitant production of

aldehyde alongside the dipyrromethane.

Keywords

F-BODIPY; dipyrrin reduction; dipyrromethane formation; microwave-assisted

2

Introduction

Dipyrrins present a useful ligand scaffold, with significant interest being devoted to dipyrrinato complexes of transition metals and boron.^{1,2} There are limited reports regarding the chemical manipulation of dipyrrins, and indeed one strategy that is often used to overcome the problems associated with the relative instability of free-base dipyrrins involves protection by means of complexation, particularly with –BF₂ thus giving rise to *F*-BODIPYs (4,4-difluoro-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza*s*-indacenes).³⁻⁵ *F*-BODIPYs have been a popular research focus in recent times due to the many applications of these compounds courtesy of their stability and intense fluorescence.⁶ For example, *F*-BODIPYs have been used as dyes to label proteins⁷⁻¹⁰ and DNA.¹¹⁻¹³ We herein report upon the reduction of *F*-BODIPYs and dipyrrins to the corresponding dipyrromethanes using an alcohol as the REDOX partner.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Preliminary observations

For an ongoing project involving the dipyrrinato framework, we required an *F*-BODIPY featuring an ester to react with an alcohol. Given a report that tris[5-(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-dipyrrinato]Co(III) was hydrolyzed to its carboxylate and then esterified via the acyl chloride, ¹⁴ we utilized the analogous *F*-BODIPY 1 that features an ester at the 4-position of the *meso*-phenyl group. To replace the electrophilic methyl carboxylate functionality with a nucleophilic hydroxyl group, 1 was reacted with excess ethylene glycol with the expectation that the corresponding ester 2 would be produced. Instead, under microwave-assisted transesterification conditions, ¹⁵ and using NaOMe as the base, dipyrromethane 3 was produced with no traces of the anticipated *F*-BODIPY 2, nor the corresponding

dipyrrin (Scheme 1). Given that the dipyrromethane **3** is likely produced via reduction of the corresponding dipyrrin, following removal of the –BF₂ moiety, we further investigated this reaction to gain an understanding of its scope, limitations and REDOX partner.

Scheme 1. Reaction of 1 with ethylene glycol

Generation of dipyrromethanes

To the best of our knowledge, there are no reports of dipyrromethanes being produced via the reduction of *F*-BODIPYs. However, there is reference to the removal of other metal ions from dipyrrinato complexes, followed by reduction of the resulting dipyrrin, using NaBH₄. ¹⁶ Indeed, dipyrrins may be reduced to dipyrromethanes via hydrogenolysis (H₂/Pd) or through the use of NaBH₄, although most reported examples feature a stabilizing group either in the way of a meso-aryl substituent or an alpha carbonyl group. ¹⁷⁻¹⁹ Related systems such as biliverdins²⁰⁻²³ are routinely reduced to bilirubins upon reaction with NaBH₄: similarly, tripyrrenes may be reduced to tripyrranes, ²⁴ and conjugated tetrapyrrolic systems may be reduced to the corresponding phlorins. ^{25,26} There is one example of a dipyrrin reacting with BH₃•SMe₂ to form a dipyrrinato–BH₂ adduct that isomerizes to effectively deliver hydride to the *meso*-position, thus generating a –BH complex of a dipyrromethane. ²⁷ Although shaking an organic solution of a dipyrrin with an aqueous solution of sodium dithionite removes the colour of the dipyrrin (and hence dipyrromethane formation is assumed), we report herein that the strategy does not give a reasonable yield of the anticipated dipyrromethane. When 6 was exposed to an

aqueous/THF solution¹⁰ of sodium dithionite the respective dipyrromethane **5** was isolated in only 10% yield, alongside significant decomposition products including an unstable tetrapyrrolic material. When **6** was shaken with dithionite (phosphate buffered, aqueous Na₂S₂O₄ pH 7.0/CH₂Cl₂), comparable results were obtained. Complete conversion of the dipyrrin to baseline material was observed within 20 minutes (TLC analysis) with dilute solutions of aqueous dithionite (0.2 M), and the use of saturated aqueous dithionite solution resulted in complete decomposition within 5 minutes.

We first wanted to assess the general scope of the production of dipyrromethanes via reduction using our new methodology. Working with the unfunctionalized system, *F*-BODIPY **4** was found to generate 5-phenyldipyrromethane (**5**) upon treatment with ethylene glycol and NaOMe (Scheme 2), indicating that the ester functionality of **1** is unnecessary for the curious reduction of the dipyrrinato unit to the dipyrromethane. More interestingly, the free-base dipyrrin (**6**) evidently also serves as a substrate for the reduction. Given our recent work using alkoxides to remove the –BF₂ moiety from *F*-BODIPYs, ^{28,29} presumably the initial transformation of **4** involves decomplexation, followed by reduction of the resultant dipyrrin.

Scheme 2. Production of 5-phenyldipyrromethane (5)

To verify that the product was stable under the reaction conditions, dipyrromethane **5** was submitted to the microwave-assisted conditions in ethylene glycol, with and without NaOMe. These reactions yielded essentially quantitative return of the dipyrromethane.

Solvent effects

With the goal being to identify both the optimum reaction conditions, as well as the REDOX partner for the reduction of **6**, we explored the use of several solvents and compared their effectiveness to that of ethylene glycol (Table 1). The use of 2-methoxyethanol, under identical conditions to those used previously, returned a comparable yield of the dipyrromethane **5** (compare entries 1 and 2). However, the use of 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME, entry 3) resulted in only decomposition products: clearly the solvent choice is crucial for the success of the reaction, with a hydroxyl functionality being essential for reduction of the dipyrrin to the dipyrromethane. The use of simple alcohols was largely unsuccessful (entries 4-5), perhaps due to the fact that solvents with lower boiling points tended to reach elevated system pressures during the reactions, promoting decomposition. Pleasingly, the use of benzyl alcohol (entry 6) resulted in the production of dipyrromethane **5** in acceptable yield, as did the use of 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol (entry 7).

Table 1 - The role of the solvent on the reduction of $\mathbf{6}^{a}$

Entry	Solvent	Isolated yield (%) ^b
1	ethylene glycol	68
2	2-methoxyethanol	59
3	DME	$0_{\rm p}$
4	ethanol	$0_{\rm p}$
5	<i>n</i> -butanol	$0_{\rm p}$
6	benzyl alcohol	59
7	4-methoxybenzyl alcohol	47

^aReaction conditions: 30 minutes, 215 °C, μW, 3 equiv NaOMe, solvent; ^bonly decomposition products observed

Maintaining the use of ethylene glycol, we also explored the effects of reducing the amount of NaOMe. Using 1 and 2 equiv NaOMe resulted in slightly lower yields of 5 compared to the use of 3 equiv; furthermore, significantly lower yields were obtained if sub-stoichiometric amounts of NaOMe were employed. Consequently, we maintained our use of 3 equiv NaOMe for the remainder of our investigations.

We next investigated the role of water in the reaction. Using ¹H NMR spectroscopy and a relaxation time of 15 s we confirmed the <0.2% water content of commercial anhydrous ethylene glycol by spiking with a known quantity of water and comparing the quantitative integrals of the –OH signals.³⁰ Given the hygroscopic properties of ethylene glycol we similarly determined that our reagent-grade ethylene glycol contained 1.3% water. Anhydrous ethylene glycol and anhydrous reaction conditions were subsequently employed for the transformation of 6 to 5. Furthermore, two parallel reactions were run whereby reactions using anhydrous ethylene glycol were doped with 10 and 100 equiv of water, respectively: the yields remained consistent throughout all three experiments, and matched the yield obtained when reagent-grade (i.e., 1.3% water, wet) ethylene glycol was used. As

such, we concluded that water does not play a significant role in the reaction and that precautions to exclude water from the reaction mixture are unnecessary.

Effects of temperature and time

In an effort to reduce both the temperature and time required for reduction of the dipyrrin to the dipyrromethane, we evaluated the effects of varying these reactions conditions (Table 2). The time was successfully reduced to 10 minutes, with a slightly elevated yield of 5 compared to that seen after 30 minutes (compare entries 1 and 3). Further reducing the reaction time returned a lower yield (entry 4). Employing the optimized ten-minute reaction time and reducing the temperature from 215 °C resulted in significantly lower yields despite consumption of the dipyrrin (entries 5 and 6): this implies that decomposition competes with the production of the desired dipyrromethane. As such, the optimized reaction conditions emerged as 215 °C for 10 minutes.

Table 2 - The effects of temperature and time on consumption and yield

Entry	Time (mins)	Temperature (°C)	Consumption of 6 (%) ^a	Isolated yield of 5 (%)
1	30	215	100	61
2	20	215	100	63
3	10	215	100	71
4	5	215	89	54
5	10	200	80	34
6	10	150	5	2

^aObtained from the ratio of **6** to **5** *via* integration of NMR signals

Exploring substrate scope

Satisfied that we had identified a reasonable set of reaction conditions, we then explored the scope of the substrate. In particular, we were interested in whether other *meso*-aryl functionalities would be tolerated and also whether *meso*-unsubstituted (i.e., *meso*-H) *F*-BODIPYs and dipyrrins would undergo reduction as per their *meso*-aryl substituted counterparts. Furthermore, we were interested in the influence of substituents about the pyrrolic units.

First we investigated *F*-BODIPYs bearing a variety of substituted phenyl groups at the *meso*-position (Table 3). Both electron withdrawing and electron donating moieties were tolerated (entries 2-7). The requisite dipyrromethanes were obtained in moderate yields in all cases except for the 4-nitro derivative (entry 8), which presumably undergoes decomposition facilitated by the nitro functionality. Nevertheless, the use of aryl halides, aryl amines and aryl ethers all returned good yields of the corresponding *meso*-aryl dipyrromethanes.

Table 3 - Deprotection and reduction of meso-aryl F-BODIPYs

Entry	R	Isolated yield (%)	
1	-H (4)	68	
2	-COOMe (1)	68	
3	-CF ₃ (7)	53	
4	-CH ₃ (8)	63	
5	-OCH ₃ (9)	76	
6	-Br (10)	61	
7	-NMe ₂ (11)	47	
8	-NO ₂ (12)	0^{a}	

^aonly decomposition products observed

A series of *meso*-unsubstituted substrates (13-17, Figure 1) were also explored, using the optimized reaction conditions (10 minutes, 215 °C, μW, 3 equiv NaOMe, ethylene glycol): these substrates all featured alkyl chains and functional groups on the pyrrolic units. These *meso*-H *F*-BODIPYs yielded only decomposition products under the reaction conditions: dipyrromethane was not obtained. As the *meso*-phenyl substituent seems to offer stability to the dipyrrolic unit, substrates 18 and 19 were also investigated: these *F*-BODIPYs feature a *meso*-phenyl group as well as substituents about the pyrrolic framework. These two substrates also yielded decomposition products under the reaction conditions, as did the analogue 20 that is unsubstituted adjacent to the *meso*-position. Of

course, alkyl substituted dipyrromethanes are prone to auto-oxidation (to the dipyrrins), but nevertheless we saw no sign of these compounds.

Figure 1. Further investigation into substrate tolerance^a

R¹

$$R^3$$
 R^1
 R^2
 R^2
 R^3
 R^3
 R^2
 R^3
 R^2
 R^3
 R^2
 R^3
 R^3
 R^3
 R^3
 R^3
 R^4
 R^2
 R^3
 R^3
 R^4
 R^3
 R^3
 R^4
 R^3
 R^4
 R^4

The scope of this reduction of *F*-BODIPYs and dipyrrins to the corresponding dipyrromethanes is thus limited to dipyrrinato units that are unsubstituted about the dipyrrolic framework and that feature an aryl group at the *meso*-position.

Probing the redox partner

Since the reaction results in reduction of a dipyrrin to a dipyrromethane, we sought to identify the concomitant REDOX partner: we hypothesized that ethylene glycol was being oxidized to generate 2-hydroxyacetaldehyde (glycolaldehyde).³¹ Similarly, when benzyl alcohol was used as solvent, benzaldehyde would be produced. As such, we anticipated that the addition of 2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazine (2,4-DNPH)³² would lead to formation of the yellow 2,4-DNP hydrazone. After our optimized microwave irradiation of 6 and NaOMe in ethylene glycol, solutions of 2,4-DNPH (2.5 equiv and 80 equiv)³³ in acidic methanol were added directly to the reaction mixture: the respective hydrazone was not detected, even after heating to 65 °C.³⁴ Likewise, in trying to identify the REDOX partner for the reduction in ethylene glycol, matters are complicated by the fact that glycolaldehyde has been reported to be a product of the air-oxidation of ethylene glycol at high temperatures.³⁵

Furthermore, glycolaldehyde is a better reducing agent than ethylene glycol, as demonstrated by its use in the polyol synthesis of metal colloids.³⁶ However, our reaction protocol incorporates a degassing step to remove oxygen from the reaction mixture and so we are confident that significant concentrations of glycolaldehyde are not generated via this alternative mechanism.

Regardless, when benzyl alcohol was used as solvent the addition of 2,4-DNPH to the reaction mixture post-microwave irradiation of 6 resulted in the formation of 1-benzylidene-2-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)hydrazone in 66% isolated yield, alongside an equivalent yield of the requisite dipyrromethane 5. In a parallel reaction, without 2,4-DNPH, column chromatography enabled the isolation of benzaldehyde in a comparable yield. As such, we hypothesize that the alcohol effectively transfers hydrogen to the dipyrrinato core under the reaction conditions. Of course, given the high temperatures and the presence of base, interference via a Cannizzaro-type hydride transfer cannot be ruled out once some benzaldehyde has formed.

Mechanistic Insight

To draw some parallel between our methodology and the reduction of dipyrrins via hydride delivery, dipyrrins 6, 21, 22 and 22•HBr were reacted with NaBH₄ (Table 4).

Table 4 - Reduction of dipyrrins using NaBH₄

$$R^3$$
 R^4 R^3 R^4 R^3

Entry (Compound)	\mathbb{R}^1	\mathbb{R}^2	\mathbb{R}^3	\mathbb{R}^4	Isolated yield (%)
1 (6)	Н	Н	Н	Ph	60
2 (21)	Me	Et	Me	Ph	20ª
3 (22)	Me	Et	Me	Н	$0_{\rm p}$

4 (22•HBr)	Me	Et	Me	Н	$0_{\rm p}$

^aDipyrromethane product auto-oxidizes to dipyrrin, compound isolated under N₂; ^bComplete return of starting material

Meso-phenyl substrates were successfully reduced (unoptimized, entries 1 and 2), although the alkyl substituted dipyrromethane obtained from **21** readily oxidizes in air and so isolation is fraught with the practicalities of timeliness and maintaining oxygen-free conditions during chromatography, both of which likely contribute to the rather low yield. Reactions involving *meso*-H dipyrrins (entries 3 and 4) were unsuccessful, presumably due to this position being both less electrophilic and less able to afford resonance stabilization to the consequent intermediate upon hydride attack. Based on the similar reactivity of dipyrrin **6** and *F*-BODIPY **4** in our reductive methodology ((CH₂OH)₂, NaOMe), we were surprised that a very low product yield, alongside decomposition products, were observed when **4** was reacted with NaBH₄.

To further investigate the mechanism of reduction, and to probe for an intermediate tertiary radical, 10 equiv of 2-propanol-2-D₁ were added to a reaction mixture featuring **6**, ethylene glycol and NaOMe. No deuterated product was observed and the 71% yield of the undeuterated dipyrromethane (5) was consistent with reactions conducted in the absence of 2-propanol. Our mechanistic hypothesis thus leans towards a more reasonable formal hydride-transfer approach. When deuterated ethylene glycol (DOCH₂CH₂OD) was employed as a solvent under the optimized conditions, incorporation of deuterium occurred throughout the dipyrrolic framework and mirrored that of deuterium incorporation via hydrogen/deuterium exchange, as previously noted for pyrroles.³⁷ Consequently, deuterated benzyl alcohol was used to track the fate of deuterium incorporation from the alcohol. When **6** was reacted with NaOMe in benzyl alcohol-OD-d₁³⁸ (BnOD), incorporation of deuterium was not observed. However, when benzyl alcohol-d₂³⁹ (PhCD₂OH) was used as the solvent, ¹H NMR spectroscopy revealed that incorporation of deuterium occurred solely at the *meso*-position, as expected if formal

hydride delivery occurs via nucleophilic attack at the *meso*-position. We similarly observed selective *meso*-D incorporation when **6** was reacted with NaBD₄ in ethylene glycol.

In all, our preliminary investigations resulted in evidence that supports our mechanistic hypothesis. We present this work as both a useful method to prepare select dipyrromethanes, as well as a caution as regards to a previously unobserved reaction of dipyrrins.

Conclusions

In conclusion, it has been demonstrated that *meso*-aryl *F*-BODIPYs and dipyrrins undergo reduction to produce the corresponding dipyrromethane in basic, microwave-assisted conditions in the presence of excess ethylene glycol, benzyl alcohol, 4-methoxybenzyl alcohol or 2-methoxyethanol. The redox partner appears to be the hydroxyl-containing solvent, thus generating the corresponding aldehyde. Although the formation of dipyrromethanes via the condensation of pyrrole with aryl aldehydes can be high-yielding,⁴⁰ a viscous and difficult-to-manipulate reaction mixture is often received and this is complicated by the fact that pyrrole is often used as the reaction solvent.⁴¹⁻⁴³ Alternatively, making *F*-BODIPYs can be a simple one-pot process:^{4,44} the method described in this article can therefore be used as a strategy by which to produce dipyrromethanes via the respective *F*-BODIPY.

Experimental section

General Experimental

All ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained using a 500 MHz NMR spectrometer (operating at 500 MHz and 125 MHz, respectively) and CDCl₃ as solvent. Chemical shifts were recorded in parts per million (ppm) with reference to CDCl₃ (¹H NMR at 7.26 ppm, ¹³NMR at 77.16 ppm). ¹¹B{¹H} and NMR spectra were obtained using a 500 MHz NMR instrument (operating at 160 MHz). Coupling constants (*J*) are reported in units of Hertz (Hz). High and low resolution ESI⁺ mass spectra were

recorded using a microTOF instrument. All microwave-promoted reactions were performed using a Biotage Initiator 8 laboratory microwave apparatus. 0-400 W power, 2.45 GHz. Column chromatography was performed using Silicycle 230-400 mesh ultra pure silica or Brockman (III) basic alumina, as indicated. The following compounds were prepared according to literature procedures: 5-(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl) phenyldipyrrin, 14 5-(4-trifluoromethyl)phenyldipyrromethane, 45 1,3,7,9-tetramethyl-2,8-dipentyl-dipyrrin•HBr, 46 4, 47 8, 48 9, 49 10, 50 11, 50 12, 48 13, 28 15, 46 16, 29 17 29 and 18 29 and 19. 51 Measurements for the crystal structure of 1 were made using a Rigaku RAXIS RAPID imaging plate area detector with graphite monochromated M_o -K $_\alpha$ radiation. The structures were solved by direct method an expanded using Fourier techniques. 53 The non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically. Calculations were performed using the CrystalStructure 54,55 crystallographic software package.

General Procedure (GP1) for the Synthesis of F-BODIPYs

The appropriate dipyrrin or HBr dipyrrin salt was treated with 6 equiv of NEt₃ and 9 equiv of BF₃•OEt₂ while stirring at room temperature in anhydrous dichloromethane [~0.04 M]. Upon complete consumption of the dipyrrin, according to analysis using TLC, the solvent was removed from the reaction mixture *in vacuo*. The crude dark red solid was dissolved in diethyl ether and washed twice with an equal volume of 1 M HCl (to remove excess BF₃•OEt₂), followed by distilled water and then brine. The organic fraction was then reduced *in vacuo*, and the compound was purified using column chromatography (silica). Removal of the organic solvent *in vacuo* gave the product as a red/orange solid.

General Procedure (GP2) for the Synthesis of F-BODIPYs

The appropriate dipyrromethane was treated with 1.1 equiv of DDQ while stirring at room temperature in anhydrous dichloromethane [~0.12 M]. Upon complete consumption of the dipyrromethane,

according to analysis using TLC (i.e., conversion to the dipyrrin, typically within 30-45 minutes), the reaction mixture was treated with 6 equiv of NEt₃ and 9 equiv of BF₃•OEt₂. Upon complete consumption of the dipyrrin, according to analysis using TLC, the solvent was removed from the reaction mixture *in vacuo*. The crude dark red solid was dissolved in diethyl ether and washed twice with 1 M HCl (equal parts, to remove excess BF₃•OEt₂), followed by distilled water and then brine. The organic fraction was then reduced *in vacuo*, and the compound was purified using column chromatography (silica). Removal of the organic solvent *in vacuo* gave the product as a red/orange solid.

4,4-Difluoro-8-(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene (1)

Using GP1, the title compound was synthesized from 5-(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl) phenyldipyrrin (542 mg, 1.94 mmol) in 5.5 hours. The title compound was isolated as a red solid (483 mg, 76% yield) after column chromatography (silica – DCM). 1 H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.19 (d, 2H, J = 8), 7.96 (s, 2H), 7.64 (d, 2H, J = 8), 6.88 (d, 2H, J = 4), 6.56 (d, 2H, J = 4), 3.98 (s, 3H); 13 C (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.3, 145.9, 145.0, 138.1, 134.8, 132.3, 131.6, 130.5, 129.7, 119.1, 52.7; 11 B{ 1 H} (160 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.24 (t, J = 27.2); HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M + Na]⁺ Calcd 349.0936 for C_{17} H₁₃B₁F₂N₂O₂Na; found 349.0930. Crystal data for 1: C_{17} H₁₃N₂BF₂O₂, MM = 326.11 g/mol, dark red needle 0.90 x 0.33 x 0.15 mm; primitive triclinic, space group P-1 (#2), a = 7.5380(7) Å, b = 7.8407 (7) Å, c = 13.3682(7) Å, β = 77.62(4) °, V = 740.0 (2) Å³, Z = 2, ρ = 1.463 g/cm³, μ (MoK α) = 1.127 cm⁻¹, 53727 reflections (20535 unique, R_{int} = 0.029), R(F) = 0.0350, Rw(F) = 0.0487, R0F = 0.933; CCDC 949267.

4,4-Difluoro-8-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene (7)

Using GP2, the title compound was synthesized from 5-(4-trifluoromethyl)phenyldipyrromethane (300 mg,1.03 mmol). The title compound was isolated as a red solid (166 mg, 48% yield) after column chromatography (silica – 20% Et₂O/hexanes). 1 H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.94 (s, 2H), 7.77 (d, 2H, J = 8),

7.65 (d, 2H, J = 8), 6.83 (d, 2H, J = 4), 6.53 (d, 2H, J = 4); ¹³C (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 145.2, 137.4, 134.9, 132.9, 132.7, 131.6, 130.8, 130.1, 125.7, 119.3; ¹¹B {¹H} (160 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.20 (t, J = 27.5); HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M + Na]⁺ Calcd 359.0755 for C₁₆H₁₀B₁F₅N₂O₂Na; found 359.0749.

4,4-Difluoro-1,3,5,7-tetramethyl-2,6-di-3-hydroxypropyl-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene (14)

Using GP1, the title compound was synthesized from 1,3,7,9-tetramethyl-2,8-di(hydroxypropyl)-dipyrrin•HCl (450 mg, 1.29 mmol) in 3 hours. The title compound was isolated as a red solid (90 mg, 18% yield) after column chromatography (silica – 5% MeOH/DCM). 1 H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.92 (s, 1H), 3.62 (t, 4H), 2.46 (s, 6H), 2.43 (t, 4H), 2.14 (s, 6H), 1.64-1.70 (m, 4H), 1.24 (br s, 2H); 13 C (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.6, 137.9, 133.0, 129.8, 119.4, 62.7, 33.4, 20.7, 13.2, 10.2; 11 B { 1 H} (160 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.86 (t, J = 34.2); HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M + Na] $^{+}$ Calcd 387.2031 for C₁₉H₂₇B₁F₂N₂O₂Na; found 387.2026.

4,4-Difluoro-1,3,5,7-tetramethyl-2,6-dipentyl-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene (15)⁴⁶

Using GP1, the title compound was synthesized from 1,3,7,9-tetramethyl-2,8-di(hydroxypropyl)-dipyrrin•HBr (250 mg, 0.59 mmol) in 4 hours. The title compound was isolated as a red solid (122 mg, 71% yield) after column chromatography (silica – DCM). 1 H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ ppm: 6.94 (s, 1H), 2.48 (s, 6H), 2.34 (t, 4H), 2.15 (s, 6H), 1.40-1.46 (m, 4H), 1.27-1.36 (m, 8H), 0.90 (t, 6H); 13 C (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 155.0, 137.1, 132.6, 130.4, 118.7, 31.8, 30.0, 24.2, 22.7, 14.2, 12.8, 9.7; 11 B { 1 H} (160 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.76 (t, J = 34.6); HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M + Na] $^{+}$ Calcd 411.2759 for C₂₃H₃₅B₁F₂N₂Na; found 411.2754.

4,4-Difluoro-1,3,5,7-tetramethyl-2,6-diethyl-8-(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene (19)⁵¹

Using GP2, the title compound was synthesized from 1,3,7,9-tetramethyl-2,8-diethyl-5-(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)dipyrromethane (2.39 g, 6.10 mmol) stepwise. Following GP2, the dipyrrin formed in 45 minutes and was converted to the BODIPY in an additional 2 hrs. The title compound

was isolated as a dark green solid (589 mg, 22% yield) after column chromatography (silica – DCM). 1 H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.17 (d, 2H, J = 8), 7.40 (d, 2H, J = 8), 3.98 (s, 3H), 2.53 (s, 6H), 2.29 (q, 4H), 1.25 (s, 6H), 0.98 (t, 6H); 13 C (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.7, 154.4, 140.8, 138.8, 138.2, 133.2, 130.7, 130.4, 128.8, 52.5, 17.2, 14.7, 12.7, 12.0; 11 B { 1 H} (160 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.65 (t, J = 33.3); HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M + Na] $^{+}$ Calcd 461.2188 for C₂₅H₂₉B₁F₂N₂Na; found 461.2182.

5,5-Difluoro-11-phenyl-5-bora-4b,5adiaza-s-1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-octahydroindeno[2,1-b]fluorene (20)

Tetrahydroindole (429 mg, 3.54 mmol) and benzoyl chloride (205 μL, 1.77 mmol) were heated and stirred at reflux temperature in anhydrous dichloroethane (55 mL) for 48 hours. The solution was allowed to cool to room temperature, and then the *in situ* generated dipyrrin was treated with 6 equiv of NEt₃ and 9 equiv BF₃•OEt₂. Upon consumption of the dipyrrin (monitored via TLC, 1 hour), the solvent was removed from the reaction mixture in vacuo. The crude dark red solid was dissolved in diethyl ether and washed twice with 1M HCl (equal parts) to remove excess BF₃•OEt₂, followed by distilled water and then brine. The organic solvent volume was then reduced in vacuo, and the compound was purified using column chromatography (silica – 2% Et₂O/hexanes). Removal of the organic solvent in vacuo gave the title compound as a red/orange solid (106 mg, 16% yield). Upon NMR analysis, it was noted that the compound was not pure. Purification was attempted via column chromatography (silica – 10% EtOAc/hexanes, alumina – 10% DCM/hexanes): these attempts proved to be unsuccessful and the sample was used without further purification. ¹H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.45-7.50 (m, 5H), 6.42 (s, 2H), 3.09 (t, 4H), 2.50 (t, 4H), 1.83-1.88 (m, 4H), 1.71-1.76 (m, 4H); ¹³C (125) MHz, CDCl₃) δ 157.5, 141.1, 134.8, 133.9, 130.4, 129.7, 129.4, 129.3, 128.2, 126.6, 24.8, 23.3, 23.0, 22.5; ¹¹B { ¹H} (160 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.58 (t, J = 32.6); HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M + Na] + Calcd 399.1820 for C₂₃H₂₃B₁F₂N₂Na; found 399.1815.

General procedure (GP3) for the microwave-assisted deprotection and reduction of F-BODIPYs:

F-BODIPY (50 mg) was added to a solution of NaOMe (3 equiv) and ethylene glycol (3 mL). The reaction mixture was degassed by bubbling with N₂ for 15 mins, and then it was then heated and stirred at 215 °C for 10 minutes in a Biotage microwave system. The reaction mixture was dissolved in DCM (50 mL) and washed with water (50 mL x3). The organic layer was dried with anhydrous Na₂SO₄, concentrated and purified via column chromatography (alumina), to give a semi-solid.

5-((4-(2-Hydroxy)ethoxycarboxy)phenyl)dipyrromethane (3)

Using GP3, the title compound was synthesized from 4,4-difluoro-8-(4-methoxycarbonylphenyl)-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene (1). The title compound was isolated as a dark semi-solid (29 mg, 68% yield) after column chromatography (alumina, 0-10% MeOH/DCM). ¹H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.12 (s, 2H), 7.99 (d, 2H, J = 8), 7.29 (d, 2H, J = 8), 6.72 (s, 2H), 6.15 (quintet, 2H), 5.88 (s, 2H), 5.53 (s, 1H), 4.45 (t, 2H), 3.95 (t, 2H); ¹³C (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.9, 147.9,131.7, 130.1, 128.60, 128.56, 117.7, 108.6, 107.6, 66.7, 61.4, 44.1; HRMS-ESI (m/z): [M + Na]⁺ Calcd 333.1215 for C₁₈H₁₈N₂O₃Na; found 333.1210.

5-Phenyldipyrromethane (5)

Using GP3, the title compound was synthesized from 4,4-difluoro-8-phenyl-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene (4). The title compound was isolated as a dark beige solid (28 mg, 68% yield) after column chromatography (alumina, 10% Et₂O/hexanes). 1 H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.93 (br s, 1H), 7.20-7.35 (m, 5H), 6.70 (d, 2H, J = 6), 6.17 (d, 2H, J = 7) 5.92 (d, 2H, J = 6), 5.48 (s, 1H); data comparable to previously reported data. 40

5-(4-Trifluoromethylphenyl)dipyrromethane

Using GP3, the title compound was synthesized from 4,4-difluoro-8-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-*s*-indacene (7). The title compound was isolated as a dark beige semi-solid (21 mg, 53% yield) after column chromatography (alumina, DCM). ¹H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.97 (s, 2H), 7.59 (d,

2H, J = 8), 7.35 (d, 2H, J = 8), 6.74 (s, 2H), 6.17 (s, 2H), 5.53 (s, 1H); data comparable to previously reported data.⁵⁶

5-(4-Methylphenyl)dipyrromethane

Using GP3, the title compound was synthesized from 4,4-difluoro-8-(4-methylphenyl)-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene (**8**). The title compound was isolated as a dark beige solid (26 mg, 63% yield) after column chromatography (alumina, 5-10% EtOAc/hexanes). 1 H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.00 (s, 2H), 7.26 (d, 2H, J = 9), 6.80 (s, 2H), 6.30 (q, 2H), 6.06 (s, 2H), 5.56 (s, 1H), 2.49 (s, 3H); data comparable to previously reported data. 40

5-(4-Methoxyphenyl)dipyrromethane

Using GP3, the title compound was synthesized from 4,4-difluoro-8-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene (9). The title compound was isolated as a dark beige solid (34 mg, 76% yield) after column chromatography (alumina, 10% EtOAc/hexanes). 1 H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.89 (s, 2H), 7.14 (d, 2H, J = 9), 6.86 (d, 2H, J = 9), 6.68 (d, 2H, J = 4), 6.16 (d, 2H, J = 4), 5.92 (s, 1H), 3.80 (s, 3H); data comparable to previously reported data. 40

5-(4-Bromophenyl)dipyrromethane

Using GP3, the title compound was synthesized from 4,4-difluoro-8-(4-bromophenyl)-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene (**10**). The title compound was isolated as a dark beige solid (23 mg, 61% yield) after column chromatography (alumina, 10-20% EtOAc/hexanes). ¹H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.92 (s, 2H), 7.44 (d, 2H, J = 9), 7.19 (d, 2H, J = 9), 6.70-6.72 (m, 2H), 6.17 (q, 2H), 5.87-5.91 (m, 2H), 5.43 (s, 1H); data comparable to previously reported data. ⁵⁶

5-(4-Dimethylamino)dipyrromethane

Using GP3, the title compound was synthesized from 4,4-difluoro-8-(4-dimethylaminophenyl)-4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-*s*-indacene (**11**). The title compound was isolated as a dark beige solid (20 mg, 47% yield) after column chromatography (alumina, 10-20% EtOAc/hexanes). ¹H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.90 (s.

2H), 7.09 (d, 2H, J = 9), 6.70 (d, 2H, J = 9), 6.66-6.72 (m, 2H), 6.16 (q, 2H), 5.93-5.96 (m, 2H), 5.39 (s, 1H), 2.94 (s, 6H); data comparable to previously reported data.⁵⁷

1-Benzylidene-2-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)hydrazone

After microwave irradiation (10 minutes, 215 °C) of 5-phenyldipyrrin (6) (50 mg, 0.23 mmol) and NaOMe (37 mg, 0.68 mmol) in benzyl alcohol (3 mL), a solution of 2,4-DNPH (2.5 equiv) in acidic methanol (Brady's test, 25:1 MeOH/sulfuric acid) was added directly to the reaction mixture which was then stirred at room temperature for 3 hours. The resulting yellow precipitate was isolated via suction filtration (35 mg, 66% yield). 1 H (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.32 (s, 1H), 9.16 (s, 1H), 8.37 (d, 1H, J = 10), 8.13 (d, 1H, J = 10), 8.09 (s, 1H), 7.75-7.80 (m, 2H), 7.46-7.50 (m, 3H); data comparable to previously reported data. 58

General Procedure (GP4) for the reduction of dipyrrins to dipyrromethanes using NaBH₄

NaBH₄ (1 equiv) was added under a flow of N₂ to a solution of dipyrrin (50 mg, 1 equiv) in anhydrous THF (1.5 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight and then quenched with dilute aqueous HCl (30 mL). The resulting solution was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 30 mL) and the combined organic phases were washed with water (90 mL) and brine (90 mL), and then dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and the solvent removed *in vacuo*. Purification was achieved using column chromatography to produce a solid.

5-Phenyldipyrromethane (5)

Using GP4, the title compound was synthesized from 5-phenyldipyrrin (6), and isolated as a beige solid (30 mg, 60% yield) after column chromatography (silica, CH_2Cl_2). ¹H (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 7.93 (br s, 2H), 7.20-7.35 (m, 5H), 6.70 (d, 2H, J = 6), 6.17 (d, 2H, J = 7) 5.92 (d, 2H, J = 6), 5.48 (s, 1H) ppm. Comparable to previously reported data. ⁴⁰

2,8-Diethyl-1,3,7,9-tetramethyl-dipyrromethane⁵⁹

Using GP4, the title compound was synthesized from 2,8-diethyl-1,3,7,9-tetramethyl-dipyrrin (**18**). The title compound was isolated as a pale pink solid (10 mg, 20% yield despite auto-oxidation upon running the column) after column chromatography (silica, CH_2Cl_2). HRMS-ESI (m/z): $[M + Na]^+$ Calcd 357.2301 for $C_{23}H_{30}N_2Na$; found 357.2305. NOTE: This compound readily oxidizes to the corresponding dipyrrin (orange-red solid) and therefore full characterization was not possible.

Sodium Dithionite-Mediated Reduction ⁶⁰

5-Phenyldipyrrin (6, 50 mg, 0.227 mmol) was added under a flow of N_2 to a stirring solution of aqueous $Na_2S_2O_4/THF$ (395 mg in 1 mL $H_2O/3$ mL THF). The reaction mixture was allowed to stir at room temperature for 10 minutes, where the solvent was removed azeotropically using toluene (2 x 50 mL) and the resulting oil was separated between DCM (50 mL) and water (50 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted using DCM (50 mL x 2) and then the combined organic layers were dried over Na_2SO_4 and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude mixture was then purified over neutral Brockman III alumina, (10-20% $Et_2O/hexanes$). 5-Phenyldipyrromethane was isolated as a beige solid (5 mg, 10 % yield). 1H (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.93 (br s, 2H), 7.20-7.35 (m, 5H), 6.70 (d, 2H, J= 6), 6.17 (d, 2H, J= 7) 5.92 (d, 2H, J= 6), 5.48 (s, 1H) ppm. Comparable to previously reported data. 40

Supplementary data

Supplementary data (copies of NMR spectra) are available with the article through the journal Web site. CCDC 949267 contains the X- ray data in CIF format for this manuscript. These data can be obtained, free of charge, via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/ products/csd/request (or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 33603; or e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC). J.A.M. is the recipient of a Walter C. Sumner Memorial Fellowship. We thank Mr. Xiao Feng (Dalhousie University) for recording mass spectral data, and Dr. Raymond T. Syvitski (Institute for Marine Biosciences, National Research Council of Canada, Halifax) for discussions regarding the use of ¹H NMR spectroscopy to quantify residual water in protic solvents.

References

- (1) Wood, T. E.; Thompson, A. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 1831.
- (2) Wood, T. E.; Uddin, I. M.; Thompson, A. In *Handbook of Porphyrin Science*; Kadish, K. M., Smith, K., Guilard, R., Eds.; World Scientific: 2010, p 235.
- (3) Benstead, M.; Mehl, G. H.; Boyle, R. W. Tetrahedron 2011, 67 3573.
- (4) Loudet, A.; Burgess, K. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 4891.
- (5) Ziessel, R.; Ulrich, G.; Harriman, A. New. J. Chem. 2007, 31, 496.
- (6) Boens, N.; Leen, V.; Dehaen, W. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 1130.
- (7) Karolin, J.; Johansson, L. B.-A.; Strandberg, L.; Ny, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, 116, 7801.
- (8) Tan, K.; Jaquinod, L.; Paolesse, R.; Nardis, S.; Di Natale, C.; Di Carlo, A.; Prodi, L.; Montalti, M.; Zaccheroni, N.; Smith, K. M. *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60*, 1099.
- (9) Yee, M.-C.; Fas, S. C.; Stohlmeyer, M. M.; Wandless, T. J.; Cimprich, K. A. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2005**, *280*, 29053.
- (10) Wagner, R. W.; Lindsey, J. S. Pure App. Chem. **1996**, 68, 1373.
- (11) Metzker, M. L.; Lu, J.; Gibbs, R. A. Science 1996, 271, 1420.
- (12) Metzker, M. L. In *WO Patent*; Patent, W., Ed. 2003; Vol. WO/2003/066812.
- (13) Wang, J.; Hou, Y.; Lei, W.; Zhou, Q.; Li, C.; Zhang, B.; Wang, X. *ChemPhysChem* **2012**, *13*, 2739.
- (14) Brückner, C.; Zhang, Y.; Rettig, S. J.; Dolphin, D. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **1997**, *263*, 279.
- (15) Regourd, J.; Comeau, I. M.; Beshara, C. S.; Thompson, A. J. Heterocycl. Chem. **2006**, *43*, 1709.
- (16) Yu, L.; Muthukumaran, K.; Muthukumaran, K.; Sreedharan, P.; Lindsey, J. S. 2012, US2012/0126,214, US13/359,668.
- (17) Brückner, C.; Karunaratne, V.; Rettig, S. J.; Dolphin, D. Can. J. Chem. 1996, 74, 2182.
- (18) Gossauer, A.; Engel, J. In *The Porphyrins*; Dolphin, D., Ed.; Academic Press: 1978; Vol. Volume I, Chapter 7, p 197.
- (19) Paine III, J. B. In *The Porphyrins*; Dolphin, D., Ed.; Academic Press: 1978; Vol. I, Chapter 4, p
- (20) Boiadjiev, S. E.; Lightner, D. A. Tetrahedron Asymm. 2001, 12, 2551.
- (21) Boiadjiev, S. E.; Lightner, D. A. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 7591.
- (22) Holmes, D. L.; Lightner, D. A. J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1995, 32, 113.
- (23) Hölzl, M.; Klampfl, C.; Grubmayr, K. Monatsh. Chem. 2005, 136, 755.

- (24) Aoyama, Y.; Saita, K.; Toi, H.; Ogoshi, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 4853.
- (25) Krattinger, B.; Callot, H. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 7699.
- (26) Setsune, J.; Ikeda, M.; Iida, T.; Kitao, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 6572.
- (27) Bonnier, C.; Piers, W. E.; Al-Sheikh Ali, A.; Thompson, A.; Parvez, M. *Organometallics* **2009**, 28, 4845.
- (28) Crawford, S. M.; Thompson, A. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1424.
- (29) Smithen, D. A.; Baker, A. E. G.; Offman, M.; Crawford, S. M.; Cameron, T. S.; Thompson, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 3439.
- (30) Burton, I. W.; Quilliam, M. A.; Walter, J. A. Anal. Chemistry 2005, 77, 3123.
- (31) Butler, R. A.; De Lucia, F. C.; Petkie, D. T.; Mollendal, H.; Horn, A.; Herbst, E. *Astrophys. J. Supp. Ser.* **2001**, *134*, 319.
- (32) Neuenfeldt, P. D.; Drawanz, B. B.; Siqueira, G. M.; Gomes, C. R. B.; Wardell, S. M. S. V.; Flores, A. F. C.; Cunico, W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2010**, *51*, 3106.
- (33) Guan, X. R., E.; Anni, H. Alcoholism: Clinical & Experimental Research, . *Alcohol Clin. Exp. Res.* **2012**, *36*, 398.
- (34) Anderson, S. E.; Wells, J. R.; Fedorowicz, A.; Butterworth, L. F.; Meade, B. J.; Munson, A. E. *J. Toxicol. Sci.* **2007**, *97*, 355.
- (35) Skrabalak, S. E.; Wiley, B. J.; Kim, M.; Formo, E. V.; Xia, Y. *Nano Lett.* **2008**, *8*, 2077.
- (36) Xiong, Y.; Washio, I.; Chen, J.; Cai, H.; Li, Z.-Y.; Xia, Y. *Langmuir* **2006**, *22*, 8563.
- (37) Muir, D. M.; Whiting, M. C. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. II 1975, 1316.
- (38) Kang, P.; Bobyr, E.; Dustman, J.; Hodgson, K. O.; Hedman, B.; Solomon, E. I.; Stack, T. D. P. *Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, *49*, 11030.
- (39) Bejot, R.; Tisserand, S.; Li, D. R.; Falck, J. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 3855.
- (40) Rohand, T.; Dolusic, E.; Ngo, T. H.; Maes, W.; Dehaen, W. Arkivoc 2007, 307.
- (41) Laha, J. K.; Dhanalekshmi, S.; Taniguchi, M.; Ambroise, A.; Lindsey, J. S. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **2003**, *7*, 799.
- (42) Lee, C.-H.; Lindsey, J. S. *Tetrahedron* **1994**, *50*, 11427.
- (43) Littler, B. J.; Ciringh, Y.; Lindsey, J. S. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 2864.
- (44) Wu, L.; Burgess, K. Chem. Commun. 2008, 4933.
- (45) Temelli, B.; Unaleroglu, C. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 10130.
- (46) Yutanovaa, S. L.; Berezina, M. B.; Semeikinb, A. S.; Antinaa, E. V.; Gusevaa, G. B.; V'yugina, A. I. Russ. J. Gen. Chem. 2013, 83, 545.
- (47) Lundrigan, T.; Baker, A. E. G.; Longobardi, L. E.; Wood, T. E.; Smithen, D. A.; Crawford, S. M.; Cameron, T. S.; Thompson, A. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 2158.
- (48) Cui, A.; Peng, X.; Fan, J.; Chen, X.; Wu, Y.; Guo, B. J. Photochem. Photobio. A 2007, 186, 85.
- (49) Yu, C.; Jiao, L.; Yin, H.; Zhou, J.; Pang, W.; Wu, Y.; Wang, Z.; Yang, G.; Hao, E. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, 5460.
- (50) Lager, E.; Liu, J.; Aguilar-Aguilar, A.; Tang, B. Z.; Pena-Cabrera, E. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 2053.
- (51) Eggenspiller, A.; Takai, A.; El-Khouly, M. E.; Ohkubo, K.; Gros, C. P.; Bernhard, C.; Goze, C.; Denat, F.; Barbe, J. M.; Fukuzumi, S. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2012**, *116*, 3889.
- (52) SHELX97: Sheldrick, G.M. (1997).
- (53) DIRDIF99: Beurskens, P.T.; Admiraal, G.; Beurskens, G.; Bosman, W.P.; de Gelder, R.; Israel, R.;Smits, J.M.M. (1999). The DIRDIF-99 program system, Technical Report of the Crystallography Laboratory, University of Nijmegen, The Netherlands.
- (54) CRYSTALS Issue 11: Carruthers, J.R.; Rollett, J.S.; Betteridge, P.W.; Kinna, D.; Pearce, L.; Larsen, A.; Gabe, E. (1999). Chemical Crystallography Laboratory, Oxford, UK.

- (55) CrystalStructure 3.8: Crystal Structure Analysis Package, Rigaku and Rigaku/MSC (2000-2006). 9009 New Trails Dr. The Woodlands TX 77381 USA.
- (56) Temelli, B.; Unaleroglu, C. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 10130.
- (57) Verma, S.; Kumar, S.; Jain, S. L.; Sain, B. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 6943.
- (58) Mohapatra, S. C.; Hundal, M. S.; Mathur, P. *Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc.* **2011**, *79*, 1634.
- (59) Berini, C.; Minassian, F.; Pelloux-Leon, N.; Vallee, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 8653.
- (60) Matsuo, T.; Ito, K.; Kanehisa, N.; Hayashi, T. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 5303.